Approved For Release 2004/08/19 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000800050054-0

OLC-78-399/4 Pro/Leg-

MEMORANDUM FOR: Office of Legislative Counsel
ATTENTION:
FROM:

Acting Director of Security

SUBJECT:

STAT

Title II - Proposed Charter Legislation

- 1. The Office of Security has reviewed the latest draft of Title II, received on 31 January 1978, and, in general, finds this version an immensely improved and simplified document in comparison to the previous draft. We do, however, note some undesirable deletions and the persistence of some defects as outlined below:
 - a. Section 204(b)(4) The definition of National Agency Checks would cause delays and impose a special control factor on what is a long-established, routine investigative process, by limiting the initial checks to the agencies cited. The choice of certain other sources such as the Immigration and Naturalization Service or General Services Administration files would, in many cases, be made at the outset based on the available biographic data. We suggest the following modification which will allow the present system to continue and, at the same time, allay any fears of a shotgun assault on all government files. After "State Department" substitute:

"and the central files of other Federal agencies only when specific biographic or other data indicates the likelihood of the existence of pertinent information there."

We also suggest that "the central files" of the Central Intelligence Agency and the State Department be changed to "the files".

b. Section 205(a)(3), page 8 - The reference should be to Title VI vice IV.

Approved For Release 2004/08/19: CIA-RDP81M00980R000800050054-0

- Section 211(b) We continue to feel that if the intent is to permit investigations without consent under the condition cited, the text could be phrased with greater clarity.
- Section 220, page 20 We strongly protest the deletion from this section of the special police powers and firearms-carrying authority contained in the previous The special police powers would resolve the longtroublesome question about the extent of our authority and ability to protect such installations as the domestic training sites where we do not utilize Federal Protective Officers. The firearms authority is crucial to our mandate to protect the Director and other individuals, such as defectors.
- Section 253, page 37 Our greatest concern is caused by this section, which would seem to prohibit our domestic investigative activities. We have previously noted the fact that all titles reviewed thus far have failed to provide any authority for the basic investigative activities of the Office of Security. This section, in limiting "domestic security" investigations to the Departments of Justice and Treasury, would have a devastating impact unless the term "domestic security investigation" is meant to have a more narrow construction. We urge clarification of this issue.

	f.	Secti	ion	261	-	We	are	still	curio	us as	to	whe	ther
th1	s sec	ction	WOU	ıld g	ra	nt	a sr	vor	terror	ist na	rote	cti	on
ro	m the	e scrut	tiny	of	an	in	ite11	igence	e agend	CV SO	1on	σ as	S
ne i	usea	his]	Lawy	er a	IS :	a c	condu	rit for	r his	activi	itie		

	•		 	 accivitios.	
cc:	Executive Officer,	DDA			

STAT

1 FFB 1973

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Office	of	Legis	lat	ive	Cou	nsel
ATTENTION:								
FROM:								
		Acting	D11	rector	of	Sec	uri	ty

STAT

SUBJECT: Title II - Proposed Charter Legislation

- 1. The Office of Security has reviewed the latest draft of Title II, received on 31 January 1978, and, in general, finds this version an immensely improved and simplified document in comparison to the previous draft. We do, however note some undesirable deletions and the persistence of some defects as outlined below:
 - a. Section 204(h)(4) The definition of National Agency Checks would cause delays and impose a special control factor on what is a long-established, routine investigative process, by limiting the initial checks to the agencies cited. The choice of certain other sources such as the Immigration and Naturalization Service or General Services Administration files would, in many cases, be made at the outset based on the available biographic data. We suggest the following modification which will allow the present system to continue and, at the same time, allay any fears of a shotgun assault of all government files. After "State Department" substitute:

"and the central files of other Federal agencies only when specific biographic or other data indicates the likelihood of the existence of pertinent information there."

We also suggest that "the central files" of the Central Intelligence Agency and the State Department be changed to "the files".

b. Section 205(a)(3), page 8 - The reference should be to Title VI vice IV.

- c. Section 211(b) We continue to feel that if the intent is to permit investigations without consent under the condition cited, the text could be phrased with greater clarity.
- d. Section 220, page 20 We strongly protest the deletion from this section of the special police powers and firearms-carrying authority contained in the previous draft. The special police powers would resolve the long-troublesome question about the extent of our authority and ability to protect such installations as the domestic training sites where we do not utilize Federal Protective Officers. The firearms authority is crucial to our mandate to protect the Director and other individuals, such as defectors.
- e. Section 253, page 37 Our greatest concern is caused by this section, which would seem to prohibit our domestic investigative activities. We have previously noted the fact that all titles reviewed thus far have failed to provide any authority for the basic investigative activities of the Office of Security. This section in limiting "domestic security" investigations to the Departments of Justice and Treasury, would have a devastating impact unless the term "domestic security investigation" is meant to have a more narrow construction. We urge clarification of this issue.
- f. Section 261 We are still curious as to whether this section would grant a spy or terrorist protection from the scrutiny of an intelligence agency so long as he used his lawyer as a conduit for his activities.

			-		
cc:	Evecutive	OFFI	*****		
···	Executive	Ullicer,	DDA		

STAT

			ROUTIN	G AND	RECORD	SHEET
SUBJ	IECT: (Optional	1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>.</u>	
			1 01 4	T	- 4 - 4 4	,
		II - Proposed	i Charte	r Legis	EXTENSION	NO.
FRO/	M: 				EXILITOR	
Γ						DATE 1 FEB 18/8
	Acting	Director of	Securit	<u>y</u>		T ILD K 0
TO:	(Officer designo	ation, room number, and	1	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to slow from w
build	ing)		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each : m
	OLC	-		l		
Г ^{1.}	Attn:					
	6C-19,	Hdqs.				
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.					-	
Э.						
		<u> </u>			1	
6.						
					1	
7.				+	-	
/.						
				ļ		
8.						
9.				1	 	
\						
				-	<u> </u>	
10.						
11.						
<u> </u>					 	
12.						
		ë ≱r ∸				
13.						
Ì		<u>.</u>			:	
		- · ·		_	 	1
14.						
		#				
15.		d.				
			-		-	
I		PREVIOUS SECRI	r Release	والمميدمة	حصينمينه	PS IMPOSSO PROCESS OF LINE UNC.ASS

STAT